G R S 58]

Previous references

File No 88.

1900.

Serial Nos 1 – 11

(Education)

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1 – 11

Counter-marked

~~Part~~ B for June 01

SUBJECT

Compensation for loss of pay, travelling allowance and house rent to Miss Palethorpe Lady Supdt of Maharanis College, Mysore

---

In Secret
B B Shanbhag

GPB—10 000—26 8-15

Edn 88 Foo (1) Note

In Miss Vokins' case, her passage out to Mysore was sanctioned by Govt under a promise by her as Lady Supt (please see serial No 1 of the file)

Miss Ridsdale seems to have applied for the vacant post from Wynad and hence no Tn Expenses seems to have been sanctioned her case)

Miss Hood was at the Miss Ridsdale in Mysore when she was appointed temporarily for the place

Subd
Kld
21/1/07

Subd & Secy.
PJO

21/1/07

present proposal to pay her compensation or any other remedy in my opinion without a precedent to depend upon. Under Rules — Miss Hood continued the Lady Supte till she was relieved by Miss Tabbitha. No extra expense to Govt by her appointment

25-1-1907

(7.) (2.)

It is a question whether
in the absence of a
Naval contractor under-
-standing, travelling
expenses should be
paid to Mr Hale Thorpe
as recommended by the
Committee & the D.G.-
Article 575 of the Dockyard
Naval Regulations
does not seem to apply
to this case the case,
therein one for the
Naval Architect of Govt

The correspondence
shows that Mr Hale Thorpe
arrived on the 17th or 18th
March 1910 in the full
belief that all would
arrange be permitted &
invited upon his return
to Canada to enable him &
draw salary from
the 19th Dec. The
delay in making his
appointment was
effected by, was due
to certain correspondence
between (1) Govt
& the D.G. & the Committee
& (2) Govt & the

Mr Hale Thorpe
not 575 in the
Dockyard
Naval Regulations
but
attended to
TDG

(3)

Edn & HC

Residency & the Govt of India

Cable Branch

R[2]-22/1/01
971

22-1-01

22/1/01

I would sanction the compensation for loss of pay & also the travelling allowance (as a special case) as recommended by the Committee & by the D.S. of Education

22/1/1901

Public

This is a matter of detail for the Dept. to which the case must be sent. The transfer is desirable any HC Rules of the Dept

G.I
27/1

to Offg Dewan
C
24-1-01

16333

Miss Dalrymple 24/1/01
was duly informed that
her appointt & take
effect on & after sanction
of Govt of India was re-
ceived. Neither the same nor
from the Director of
Educn. employed her previous
to the receipt of that
sanction, and the

(Orders contd on page one
Manager -)

Note- (4th page)

Miss Palethorpe's pay & allowances or
earlier claim for compensation

On 10th August 1900, Mrs Kellett
wrote to Mrs Bhabha
bringing Miss Palethorpe's
name to the notice of
the Committee -

On the 11th Idem, Mrs Bhabha
forwarded the above to
Mrs Nardinnidinga
(Honorary Secy)

On the 13th Idem Mrs
Fraser (a member of
the Committee who
was consulted on
the subject) suggests
that further enquiry
be made through Mrs
Kellett -

On the 15th Idem three
other members of the
Committee agreed
with Mrs Fraser
on the same date, Miss
Rouse wrote to —
(Probably Mrs Bhabha,
a photo of Miss Pale-
— Marked 'Very Urgent')
This asking her by
some telegram if she
would stand as a
Candidate -

On the 21st - Idem
Mrs Bhabha wrote to
Miss Palethorpe telling
her that the Secy of Lady
Tuba was asked that

vacant at the end of
September Meeting
ter for election purpose
-tion He said that
the nomination received
at the first meeting
with the School Committee
subject to confirma-
-tion by Govt.

On 8th Sept Mr
Balchin read to the
Bhabha agreeing to
stand as a candidate
& stating that the noted
Bhabha decision does
not affect arrangements
now making -

On the 30th Eden
the round Table Bhabha
again asking for early
decision as she had to
leave Bangkok by
10th October the latest.

On 1st October
Mr Narasimhiengar
Secy round a memo to
the members of the
Committee recommending
Mr Balchin's appointment - He said Mr Eden
She may be asked by
telegram to come
straight from Bangkok
to London - She will
probably arrive at-

(bit page)

the end of October - In the meanwhile the sanction of the Govt of India may be obtained.

Mr Isaacs agreed and added I am in a position to state that the opening of the Govt of India may be regarded as a mere formality ---- The ~~sanction~~ enquiries to which they refer as follows. "Assignment you are to mention probation subject to approval of Govt of India - Start at once - The other members having concurred, I offer Clerks to have already been selected by [illegible] - On the 9th October, the assembly the other 2 proceed to Calcutta forthwith (Vide telegram) - On the 13th October, while the mail on her way to Mandalay

Mr Isaacs by order - of the Secretary of State in Council has the honor to inform the Secretary to the Government of India that sanction of the Secretary of State

(Copy sent to the Auditor Gen)

This is in response to the request of [illegible] who came on behalf of [illegible] that sanction should be communicated at

(1/5/1901)

Mr & Mrs
Leave to Colombo
Leave to Mr King
Leave to break her
journey for a day
or [illegible] to Bangalore
to receive instructions
from him.

The Govt was
notified on the
10th of October,
& matters consequent
took place and
ultimately sanction
of the Govt of India
was received and
Mr Dalrymple
who had assumed
charge of the
Khedivial mail on
18th Nov received
Mrs Dalrymple
& he left Bombay
on 14th January 1901

Mrs Dalrymple
Mr Thomas & Mrs
undersigned
leave - left Dalrymple
reached Bombay
Bombay by the
10th Oct - Steamer

(8th Page)

asked for last
chance - And
the last to - The
Committee believed
that sanction could
be obtained by
the time the lady
arrived - They
cannot now break
faith with the Chief
Rule there who
acted on the strength
of their telegram
Communicated thro
Mr Blatchen - Add.
Cox Wrote to me
that the ladys claim
for pay
is not unreasonable
& the only question is
how they return
that claim should
be satisfied -

Resumed Both
Houses for Routine
- Education Vote
under consideration
2. - Mr Carlton on
the merits of his
Conditional

Order from the Comm. —
allow no change —
In the latter case
the matter will,
subsequently, have to
be laid before the
Council under
the order of the
City Council —

C
26/1/07

16349

It is unnecessary
to add further to
my confirmation Memorandum
therefore, when the Hon.
Mr. the question of finding
new land the condemned
to extend the cemetery
situated. — If the Corporation of the
City and Environs are desirous
to secure, even at this late hour,
the ground in question, they
have only to make application
to Council before a Committee is allotted
for disposal, and Council will
certainly be ready when it will be
to consider the application.

As the matter is very urgent as the Inquest
is completed for sale the Corporation
is anxious to know the decision of the
Council by Friday next. I am therefore
to request that the Council will
kindly consider the matter at
their meeting on Monday next
the 28th inst.

Yours faithfully
J. Mac Sheehy
Town Clerk

Thereupon it was proposed by Alderman Sheehy and seconded by Alderman Kelly that the Council do not accede to the application of the Corporation for the purchase of the lands in question.

Alderman Sheehy said that he would not have moved the resolution he had just proposed if he thought that the Corporation would not be able to purchase the lands in question at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price.

Alderman Kelly said that he would not have seconded the resolution he had just seconded if he thought that the Corporation would not be able to purchase the lands in question at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price.

Alderman Sheehy said that he would not have moved the resolution he had just proposed if he thought that the Corporation would not be able to purchase the lands in question at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price.

Alderman Kelly said that he would not have seconded the resolution he had just seconded if he thought that the Corporation would not be able to purchase the lands in question at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price. He thought that the Corporation should not be deterred from purchasing the lands by the fact that the Council had refused to sell them to the Corporation at a reasonable price.

(10th Page)

Upon orders as drafted by the Hon'ble Dewan for observance

- - - - -

sanction, and then submit the file to the 2nd Cr for perusal

Rd 31/1/01
1605

28/1/01

Seen thanks

2/2/1901

Note ✓
2/2/01

4/2/31

Please see
next Chart

(11th Page)

Education Branch (Routine Notes)

Letter No 7286 dated 21st February 1901, from the I.G.E. of Coorg, in Mysore

In page 2 of the office note placed below, the secretary recorded his views as to the question of Travelling ~~allowance~~ expenses to be allowed to the Lady Supdt of the Maharani's College, Miss Balethorpe —

"It is a question whether, in the absence of a special contract or understanding, travelling expenses could be paid to Miss Balethorpe as recommended by the Committee and the I.G.E. Article 5-75 of the Mysore Service Regula* — does not seem to apply to this case. The case there is one for the special orders of Govt"

The second Councillor on 23-1-1901 (Page 3 of the notes referred to above) passed the following orders —

"I would sanction the

*Extract put up

(24 P.P.C.)

the compensation for loss of pay and also the travelling allowance as a special case as recommended by the Committee and by the Hon'ble Member"

Touching the two questions viz compensation to Miss Calethorpe and payment travelling expenses to her the Offg Dewan was of opinion ~~that~~ - x x x The present proposal to pay her compensation or any other ~~allowance~~ remuneration is x x without a precedent and opposed to Codal Rules. x x (Vide page 1 of the office note margin 1, 2)

In the final orders issued the question of travelling expenses was not touched upon.

I am sorry for the delay owing to pressure of work
KK

Subd for orders
Tilak
26/2/1901

Subd & Secy
Yr I understand the

(13th page) matter aught the last order signed as fixed the claim for travelling allowances as such. The order reads:—

"That a person appointed to an office newly actually joins the appt. no pay or allowance could be claimed

KR 5/7/08

Yes Govt intended to restrict allowances to pay and travelling expenses. The 2nd may be informed accordingly

Ledger B 0744 Demand 16956

Yes. Govt 6/7/07

the above

Rules

Do to C

6/3 Con C. to 6/7/07

The above order was (14th Dec.)
issued - (No 6988/7
R 691

Dy 7 3-01)
The Insp^r Gen^l of
Com requests reconsideration
of the matter (No 7794/341
Dy 8 3 01 - Files
submitted with
Refs. as per slip
below 16/3/01

The whole of the
all the documents should
must be obtained / the
complete Case papers
and a clear note
of charges which
can, what evidence
exists be taken note
of and the accused.
Action taken should
be intimated
Their presence obtained

17/3/01

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.]

Letter No 7624/732 D: 6/3/1901
from the Govt of Eon

Mr Weir was granted furlough for 6 months from the 20th Nov 1900
As Principal of the Maharaja's College he had a free house (called the College House)

Mr T Durham, the off Principal could not occupy the College House, & should have, both on account of the inconvenience he felt in removing temporarily from his own house which he had "fitted up specially for his own comfort" and on account of Mrs Calethorpe having occupied the house already

Since a free house was not given to Mr Durham, his allowance was raised from Rs 100 to 150
In the order sanctioning the enhanced allowance it
[illegible]

e allowed to draw the house
unt of Rs 60 sanctioned
er (vide serial no 1967
e open file put up) So long
e she occupied the College
mise —

The present communication
explains the circumstances
under which Miss Balthorpe
occupied the House Mr Bhabha
is of opinion that this case
should be given a liberal
treatment

Submitted for orders

Sd/
12/3/61

Sl No 23 of the file opens
contains the views of the O/Sr
Dewan on the subject

This case — in which
history it may be dealt with
as a special case — illustrates
the necessity for some rules
regarding the disposal of
Govt quarters during their
absence on leave

Sd/ Mrs
12/3/61

Yes I think one may agree
— Sec — the
frame a short
that an officer
a free house
et for his
et proceeding

B — Should not
lips the not
shi ld edu th

EJC v 7C

7

(1) × We can write to the Lord we pray [illegible] and ask any such assistance as that by 1 by Mrs Tabernacle all

2 Carla prot - All

3 Rep. Stat Ct. on Mr Abraham recommendation all

4 Grant

Sacred Bon Hr

We can do this as an individual - Mrs Tabernacle had no right to prevent - Same that a husband would be given in Utah of his wife accompanying a foreigner (2) Mrs Abraham accompanied Mr Parsons from Mr 100 to Mr 150 in consideration of the Principal house not being available for him (3) of Mrs Tabernacle in making a complaint - an account of Mrs Webb a statement be written received and the Grant not having had to the arrangement - As matter stand, from are not only worked by Canada but also Canada but also by what Mrs Abraham

Note (C)

Order No C 298-9 is put up.
Please see sl No 1 of Mass
Vol Nus file

Please also see sl No 4 & 1 & 2
of "Mr Weir's services" file

In the Edn Branch there
appears to be no file relating
to the services of Mr. J.G. Tait

Further it is said in the letter
of the D.G. that these references
must be in the Financial
Branch

G.O. No C 296-99 d 7th Feb
1894 is put up (see sl No 3a of Dft S,
d. 3/11/91

G.O No 194-S, a mistake
may perhaps be in the G.
Records The current records of the Edn
Branch date from 1897-98

Submitted
KLS
15/3/01

The files herewith put up may
be returned when done with MG

To prevent delay
this has not been
returned & put up
M 16/3

20

Encl to Ry Secretary
&
17/163 '573/07

The office explan
Thos was not
sent to Mr
Andrews till
now as papers
were not sent to him
at Mysore as he
returned to Bangalore
as time had been
required by Mr Andrews
to complete
work done.
The papers are now
with Mr Manjunatha
Council in charge
by 24/5/07

& 27 notes — (There
is a difference of
opinion of the matter
may even before been
discussed) The
next letter of the
Govt will be sent
when may be received
in next meeting of
Council for decision

Although
'6 3 1907

Encl to Secy
17/3/07

Before this case is
sent to the Madras
Govt there has been
a change of Govt
in Coorg

I am therefore asked to submit this to the Council with reference to the Delaware reserve

Marked for 4/6

Read 4/6

I am therefore asked to submit this to the Council with reference to the Delaware reserve

Marked for 4/6

Read 4/6

I have already expressed my opinion - Those who recall the Chairman's opinion on the question of the grant to the City Railways against it. This may perhaps be placed before the Council by the Deputy Chairman to whom therefore please submit the papers

22/3/01

Subd to the Deputy Chairman

23/3/01

(X) perhaps he cannot with the Chairman's opinion in which case an order of sanction will be required

206 pm
23 3 01

Yes. I agree with the Chairman's opinion in this case which I understand to be considered that the Land (Permanent) Sub-Committee is the proper Committee to deal with the question of granting the application - & that the Council should be left free to act as a Sanitary Authority when the matter comes before them for recommendation. I do not know how considered the Council is bound to act in the matter - & do not think it is from

1 - vide my note dated 13th Feb

17/4/01

(Note Contd)

22

X5

In obedience to the orders of the Secretary (now 2nd Cr.) d 17th March 1901, the Comptroller was asked to furnish the information and the references quoted by the D.G. in his letter No. 7794/341 d 8th March 1901 were collected

Messrs Vokins's Case

(Please see Sl. No 1
& Messrs Vokins's file putup)

(1) Order No 278-9 d. 27th January 1892 In this case the passage money was sanctioned in the last clause of the order sanctioning her appointment

The case. Messrs Tait & West

(1) Mr Tait's file is putup

(2) Mr Weirs file is putup for reference in connection with the question of Subst - ituting Philosophy for Mathematics in the C. College

(2) No mention has been made of the question of Passage money in the file obtained from D.G.O

P.T.O

(3) In Mr Denham's case a sum of £ 50 was sanctioned as he had to refund an equal amount to the Govt of Madras on tendering his resignation

Mr T Denham's Case

(Please see sl no 3a of the file no 5-96 putup. (mentioned in the order)

4 In Mrs Morton's case, the payment of her passage to England was sanctioned her services were engaged while she was in India and hence no passage money to Mysore seems to have been sanctioned

Mrs Morton's Case

vide file putup. order no 194-95 d 3 11 1891 (In this case previous sanction was obtained from the Dewan)

In each of the three cases out of the 4 cited above, previous sanction seems to have been obtained for granting passage money In Miss Calethorpe's case, this was not done.

This seems to be the only difference between Miss Calethorpe's case & the other cases

The Comptroller who has been consulted has not yet sent in his reply. A reminder also has already been issued to him.

Submitted for orders

MDS 23/4/1991

Note 19

17

Letter no 9198/401 d. 15th April 1901, from the Dpl of Edn, in Mysore submitted for orders

In this letter the D.Pl again submits the question of compensating Miss Palethorpe for the loss of pay (from the 19th Nov. to 31st December) amounting to Rs 64-4

This was not favorably considered by Govt when it first came up before them (Please see Sl. no 2 & 4 of the file portion)

The original papers re the appointment of Miss Palethorpe are now before the Dewan in connection with the question of allowing House rent (Rs 60) to her ~~during~~ even while she occupies Mr Weirs Quarters

17

The details of the case have been clearly given in pages 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 & 9 of this note, by the Secretary (now 2nd Cr) the orders

(23- 22)

of the then officiating
Dewan are found in

page 10

The pay of the Lady Supdt's
place for December has not
been disbursed & is available
for any

Submitted for orders

23/4/1921

Subdr & 2nd Cr

23/4

(Two papers) For orders +/

(1) Letter No 7794/341 d 8th March 1901
from the Dept of Education, in Reserve
(Sl No 5 of the file)

(2) Letter No 9198/404 d 15th April 1901
from the same Officer (sent loose)

Both submitted with an office
note Continued

HLS

Encr
23/4/1901

Submitted to the Dewan

The questions in issue and the Councillor's opinion thereon are distinctly arranged in the order recorded.

24/4

Mr Palakkode

Her claim pending orders are three in No - Of these, No 1 relates to house rent - the occupier of Govt [redacted] the [redacted] House - I have in have already expressed the opinion that there is clearly no admissible The Council had no manner of [redacted] on the subject - [redacted] of the Dewan - [redacted] - her application need not

2

me & disallowed the claim. The papers are reported to be with the Dewan who can order as to the [illegible] relating to claim No 3)

of the Dewan

No 2 Re-passage from Bangalore to Mysore -

The precedents quoted do not seem strictly to apply - there was no cancellation in those cases - However the Comptroller's opinion may be awaited

734

The Comptroller's letter has been received today & may be laid before the Board for consideration

Sec'l 10.6

No 3 - (suspension from date of removal at Mysore to date of entering upon her duties (1-1-01)

Sec'l 10.6 pay

19/11/00

Submit this as per to the 2nd Councillor

The Committee in a way pledged themselves to this, the maintenance, as no difficulty or delay was foreseen by them - Such should accordingly be the case - no Pay for leave &c

Secy & urged that this item might be allowed but I was overruled by the late Offg. Dewan who further held that the Edn Member's opinion to the contrary notwithstanding, he was not bound to refer the matter to the Council as it was a purely financial question the decision of which was entirely in his hands - The opinion as to the ambiguity of this item remains unchanged - On this point I am willing

Issue [illegible] 1/6

78

to abide by the Dewans decision -

Submit to Dewan's approval please

23/4/07

~~The draft to Mr. Ellis' letter~~

The file is again submitted to the 2nd Cr. with Dewan's orders noted in each point & a draft for forwarding Pay.

7/6/07

(The House-rent q/n is in a separate file)

Read 7/6

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.]

Papers regarding the question of house rent to be given to Miss Palethorpe, Lady Supt of the Maharani's College have been submitted to Dewan on the 3rd March 1901. The Gl in his present packet says that Miss Palethorpe has left her Weir house which she had been occupying, from the 3rd April 1901.

Subd for orders in Continuation

Has this file come back?

Visit 25/5/01

Subd 4/6 Suffd

NB—Should notes etc be written on separate slips the notes must be copied on the slips passed on this form

N B —Should notes e l a wr ltten r n l slips the note must be r p ed n the slips pas ed o th s form

MGOPB—11 2-1901 1000

30

Mrs Polehampton's claims
Roads Superior

Claim No 1 - (House rent from 19th Nov/00 on which date Mrs Polehampton joined Major & got into the West House, to 23rd April/01 on which date she left that house)

Submitted to the Dewan for orders - Hon
18/6/1901

No 601
961

Vide on the
Inst sent-question
included in that
of Compensation for
loss of Property
vide that file

She is not strictly speaking a claimant for reasons already stated. The West House is a small one and Mrs Polehampton moved to be a lower if it were disallowed. She was not aware of any rules & regulations when she accepted the responsibility of maintaining her Wesn servants, she did no apparently, & she did not ascertain what her house rent would be withheld by Govt & moved quarters not- -withstanding, or requested her Mr Polehampton to settle the matter before Returning. No doubt

27

Here was an unpleasant-
ness I am afraid
-ness likely to overlook it -
We demand Canadian
it is believed -

No wish

The precedent quoted (Claim No 2 (Refund money)
by the Dyk & the Canadian trades
do not seem strictly
to be applicable to this case.
All concerned seem
however to have believed
that there would be no
difficulty about the
Refund money. The
fact that Mr Balthorpe
had only become ~~Up~~ from Britain
instead of being for-
ever from England
need not- perhaps
make any difference
in this respect - I
would allow this
claim if the Demand
appears -

No wish

Claim No 3 (Compensation for loss of Navigation)

I have already
expressed myself in
favor of this claim
& the Dewan has passed
orders accordingly.
I believe the Demand

32

Communicated
Mrs

not agreed - including
rent in this item or
allowing the item
from the date on which
Miss Balthorpe assumed
at Meytose but date
on which she was dis-
-missed but enters upon
her duties, instead
of only for the one
month during which
Miss Rhodes drew
no salary -

C
18/6/07

Secy

Please obtain the
Dewans orders on
the above at his
earliest convenience

C
18/6/07

Mr Earlgardens
Mr Balthorpe
Mrs Balthorpe
Mrs Balthorpe
+ is due Goodwin

Mrs
20 VI 07

Rec'd from Railway today
Subd to 2nd Cl for insp
Rec'd 23/6

22/6/07

Issue
25/6

1905-
Ed 312

Edn 61]

No 6129/265

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ~~Mysore~~, 13th January 1901 ~~189~~

Ed

File No. 88 of 1900

Serial No. 1

From

H J BHABHA, Esq,

Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue & General Departments, Bangalore

Sir,

In continuation of my letters No 3244/157 of 10th October 1900 and No 5754/249 of 9th January 1901, I have the honour to inform you that all the members of the Committee of the Maharani's College are unanimously of opinion that Miss G L Palethorpe should be reimbursed for loss of pay from 19th November to 31st December 1900 The Government of India sanction having been received she took charge of her duties as Lady Superintendent on the 1st January 1901 But she arrived in Mysore on the morning of 19th November The loss of pay she has suffered is as follows

From 19th Nov to 30th ~~Nov~~ at Rs 400 per mensem inclusive of houserent	Rs 184
For December 1900	Rs 460
Total	Rs 644.

2 The steamer and Railway fares together with the absolutely

necessary hotel expenses from Bangkok to Mysore amounted to Rs 319 It is usual to pay the passage money of the Lady Superintendent when her services are engaged in England The members of the College Committee unanimously recommend payment of her travelling expenses from Bangkok to Mysore to Miss Palethorpe

3 I recommend that Government may be pleased to sanction the payment of Rs 644 as compensation for loss of pay salary and house-rent, and Rs 319 for travelling expenses to Miss Palethorpe from the General savings of the State Funds Budget for Education

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H J Bhabha

Inspector General

Send this for issue from the Educl. Branch - to be then sent to 2nd Comr for perusal.
28/1/01

Edn
FILE No. Read 88 of 1900 (91) 3
2
Letter No 6129/265 d 18th January 1901, from the Govt of Education, in Mysore, soliciting sanction for reasons stated to the payment of Rs 644 as compensation for loss of salary and house-rent (and Rs 319 for travelling Expenses) to Mess Calethorpe, Lady Supdt of the Maharanis College, Mysore

Order thereon
No 5303/H.543 Dated 28/1/01 28

Govt observe that the present application for compensation is

Read 28/1/01

(2) is inadmissible under the Codal Rules Till a person appointed to an office newly, actually joins the appointment, no pay or allowance could be claimed In the present case, Miss Calethorpe was not permitted to take up her appointment till the sanction of the Govt of India was received, and She knew that her appointment depended upon such Sanction

28/1/01

for Secretary

28/1/01

To The I. G. of Edn
~~H. D. of the Department~~
~~Off Commissioner's Assistant~~

9413
E0371

5992
H 1015

3 5

Edn 81]

No. 7286
211.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,
Mysore, Bangalore, 21 February 1901

From

H J BHABHA, ESQ.,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

SECTION Edn
FILE NO 88 of 1900
SER AL NO 3

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

SIR,

I have the honour to invite your attention to para 2 of my letter No 6129 of the 18th ultmo, and to request the favour of early orders on the subject of the travelling expenses amounting to Rs 319 claimed by Miss Palethorpe, Lady Superintendent of the Maharani College Mysore for her journey from Bankok to Mysore

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,
H J Bhabha
Emerald

6

10107
Ed 402

Edn 81]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE

No 7624/332 Mysore Bangalore 6th March 1901

SECTION Edl

FILE NO 88 of 1900

SERIAL NO 4

From

H J BHABHA, Esq,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

SIR,

Reference — With reference to the note at the foot of Order No 10585-6/Ed 376 dated 15th February 1901 Keeping in abeyance the house rent of Miss Palethorpe so long as she occupies Mr Weir's house, I enclose herewith a copy of Miss Palethorpe's letter dated 1st March 1901 stating reasons why the rent should not be stopped I agree with Miss Palethorpe in considering that under the circumstances stated by her the house rent should not be stopped Mr Weir before he made an arrangement to give the use of the house to any one in his absence should have obtained the approval and sanction of Government As he did not do so it is hard to deprive Miss Palethorpe of the house rent in expectation of getting which she undertook to maintain Mr Weir's establishment of eight servants costing Rs 60 a month during his absence Miss Palethorpe has not under these circumstances col

a rent-free house as Government suppose, the house being encumbered with a costly establishment of servants Mr Weirs was the only house available in Mysore at the time of Miss Palethorpes arrival and there was no help for her but to accept the conditions laid down by him Under these circumstances I strongly recommend that the Order to keep the house rent in abeyance may be reconsidered and the house rent allowed to Miss Palethorpe as it was to Miss Ridsdale during the time she lived in a hotel

2 I would add that the Mysore Government has to be congratulated on the good fortune of securing the services of such a distinguished, talented and experienced Lady Superintendent as Miss Palethorpe on what I consider to be very moderate terms The value of her services will be better known to Government hereafter from the fruits of her work But it may be mentioned that hardly one out of a hundred lady graduates of English Universities has attained such high University distinctions as Miss Palethorpe It would therefore be graceful and appropriate to stretch a point if need be and treat her claim to house rent favourably and in a liberal spirit

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,

H J Bhabha
Inspector General

Maharaja's College
Mysore

8

Enl Sl 14

March 1st 1901

To

H J Bhabha Esq
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

From Miss Palethorpe
Lady Supt Maharaja's College Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your docket no $\frac{7300\ 2}{1741}$ of February 21st I have the honour to inform you that I am occupying Mr Weirs bungalow by a private engagement with them made as you know, when I arrived in Mysore The condition made by Mr + Mrs Weir was that I should retain and pay their whole establishment of eight servants (being twice as many as I actually need) The pay of these servants amounts to Rs 60 per mensem exactly exclusive of the Coachman The Government are bound by the terms of my engagement to allow me either free unfurnished Quarters or a rent allowance of Rs 60 As they have not provided, nor made any attempt to provide, me with the first, I am clearly entitled to the second I must further point out that although I had already occupied Mr Weirs bungalow for more than Six weeks when my

Appointment was gazetted, no question was then raised about my right to the house rent allowance, and further that I have already received my January pay without any such deduction being so much as mentioned I therefore request that the Order holding my rent allowance in abeyance may be at once Rescinded, or that equivalent Compensation be awarded me in some other form

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sd) Grace Palethorpe
Lady Supt Maharani's College
Mysore

True Copy

H J Bhabha
Inspector General

Bangalore
6-3-01

Dr. [illegible]

4

10

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Edgr

FILE NO 88 of 1900

SER AL NO 5 The I.G. of Edr

in Mysore

6988

No R691

Dated 7-3-07

His letter No 7286 d 21st
314
Feb 1901, inviting attention
to para 2 of his letter No 6129
of the 18th January last, and
requesting early orders on the
subject of the travelling expenses
amounting to Rs 319 claimed by
Miss Calethorpe, Lady Supdt of
the Maharani's College, Mysore,
for her journey from Bankok
to Mysore

Has the honor to inform the
I.G. that the question
both of Pay and T. Expenses
has been disposed of in Govt Orde
No 5505 d 28th January 1901,
R 543
under cort. 574 of the Mysore

claimed by Miss Calethorpe

in which it was laid down that till a person appointed to an office wholly actually joins the appt. no salary or allowance could be claimed

By order

Secretary

off Secretary's order
6/3/01 6989 Kld
No FC 692 6/3/01

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Comptroller, Mysore Govt., for information

By order

Secretary

KLS
6/3/01

5 12

Edn 81]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,
Mysore, Bangalore, 8 March ~~189~~ 1901

No. 7794/341

6077/Fl 1043

SECTION Edn

FILE No 88 of 1900

SERIAL No 6

From

H J BIALHA, Esq,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 6988/Fl 691 dated 7th March 1901, I have the honour to point out that when the services of a graduate of an English University are engaged in England it is usual for the Government of India as well as the Government of Mysore to sanction his passage from England to Mysore The passage money of Miss Vokins, late Lady Superintendent of the Maharanis College was sanctioned by Order C No 278-9 dated 27th January 1892 When the services of Mr Weir and Mr Tait were

I know they were paid their

Gl no 1 of Miss Vokins file

Passage to Mysore. The references about that must be in the Financial Department of the Dewan's Office. When Mrs Denham's services were engaged in Madras the Mysore Government paid to him £ 50, being the passage from England, which he had to refund to the Madras Government on resigning service in Madras (See Order C No 296-99 dated 7th February 1894) The services of Mrs Thornton, the First Lady Superintendent of the Maharanee's College were I believe engaged in India and therefore there was no need to pay her passage to India, but she was granted her passage to England by Order C No 194-5 of 3rd November 1891 when she resigned It is a mere accident that Miss Palethorpe's services were engaged at Bangkok instead of England. Under these circumstances Miss Palethorpe is entitled to her passage from Bangkok to Mysore I request Government will reconsider the matter and sanction the passage of Miss Palethorpe at their early convenience.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Thabha

Inspector General

SECTION Edn (Rev) 6

FILE No 8 of 1901

SERIAL No

The Comptroller
& Auditor Genl of Mysore

No 7529 dated 20/3/01

Sir,

I am forwarding herewith copy of letter No 7794/341 dated 8th March 1901, from the Dy. Dir. of Edn, in Mysore, I have the honor & request that you will be so good as to furnish me with an expression of your opinion as to the admissibility or otherwise of the claim, urged on behalf of Miss Balethorpe the Lady Supdt of the Maharani's College, Mysore, for Rs 319 being her passage money from Bangkok to Mysore. The case in question & the precedents quoted by others of any.

Rec'd 21.3.01
Copies -do-

Considered in the light of not only

Yr 20/3/01

15

~~Cej the Dfs are not~~
~~readily traceable to~~
~~Res office and the~~ ~~do not appear~~
~~further seem to be clearly~~
~~applicable to the present~~
~~Case, the practice being~~
~~to allow passage only~~
~~in cases where a special~~
~~& express understanding~~
~~exists to that effect~~ The
other cases where no such
understanding is previously
come to, fall under art 574
of the Naval Service Regulations.
The favor of an early reply
is requested

I have etc

Secretary

12/[illegible]
ED 486

16

No 9198/401

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,
~~Mysore~~, ~~109~~
Bangalore 15th April 1901.

SECTION Ed.
FILE NO 88 of 1900

From

H J BHABHA, Esq.,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments

Bangalore

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that Miss Palethorpe Lady Superintendent of the Maharani's college was offered her appointment on or about the 10th October 1900 which she accepted by telegram from Bangkok All the papers relating to her appointment have been already submitted by me to Government She arrived in Mysore on the 19th of November 1900 but she did not enter upon he duties till the 1st January 1901 as until then the sanction of the Government of India for her appointment was not received She now claims compensation for loss of pay from 19th November to 31st December 1900 at the rate of Rs 400 a month plus Rs 60 for house rent, I believe, though I am not sure the sanction of the Government of India was not even applied for on the date of her arrival [illegible] of the sanction was delayed no doubt by the

the circumstance that H E the Viceroy was on tour at the time The place of Lady Superintendent of the Maharani's School was vacant from the 1st December 1900 the Acting Lady Superintendent Miss Hood having retired from the service at the end of November 1900. Under these circumstances I recommend that Government may be pleased to view with favour her claim for compensation and treat it in a liberal spirit

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

H J Bhabha

Inspector General

Education Br

Please put up the
Refers quoted letters which
were sent to you on
23-4-01
lw
9/5/01,
These papers are now
before the Dewan may
this be sent in continuation

Yes Hon 6/8

Gen 30]

From

E. N. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Dept

FILE No 88 of Gen Dept

SERIAL No 9

Dated
Despatched 7 May
Received
General No 5748
No
Enclosure No.

In reply to

SUBJECT

Stating that this Office
knows of no precedent other
than those mentioned by the Inspr
General of Edn in his letter No
7794 & 8/3/1901 & hence, except
341
the cost of the Sanskrit Pandit
References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office)
may be brought under
art 575 of the H. S. R. regarding
passage money

Ret
No 8/5/01

PJO

Q No 19

Unlettered Audit Department

No 5748 / Secrets 1544 OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE

Bangalore, Dated 4 May 1901

From

E R SUBRAYER, Esq,

Comptroller, Mysore Government

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,

General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 7527 / Fl 789 dated 20 March 1901 regarding the passage money from Bangkok claimed on behalf of Miss Palethorpe, the Lady Superintendent of the Maharanee's College, Mysore, I have the honor to state that this office knows of no precedents other than those mentioned by the Inspector General of Education in his letter No 7794 / 3441 dated 8 March 1901 to you, except the Cases of Mr Sweetman and Dr Lehmann which may be brought under article 575 of the Mysore Service Regulations, the appointments of these officers being Technical and requiring Special Knowledge No passage money, as far as this office is aware has been paid to Educational officers appointed from places other than Europe

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant,

M Cauvery

Asst Comptroller

Ed 540

20 5 A

Edn 78]

135-44
21-5-01 20

1900—1901 OF M

SECTION Edl

FILE No 886 of 1900

SERIAL 10

From

The Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General and Revenue Deptt Bangalore.

Dated 13 May 1901

Despatched

Received

General No 10241

No 444

No of Enclosures

In continuation of this office letter No 624/332 of 6th March 1901, has the honour to inform that Miss Palethorpe Lady Superintendent of the Maharani's College, Mysore, left Mrs Weir's house, which she had been occupying from the 23rd April 1901.

H J Bhabha
Inspector General

Au complet

SECTION— Edn Rec'd

FILE No 88 of 1900

S[illegible] 11

10

21

Correspondence ending with letter No. 9198/401 d 15th April 1901, from the I Gl of Education, in Mysore, in re the claims of Miss Palethorpe, Lady Supdt, Maharanis College, Mysore, for Compensation for loss of pay and house rent from the 19th November 1900, the date of her arrival at Mysore to 1st January 1901, the date on which she entered upon her duties

2 Correspondence ending with letter No. 574 d 7th May 1901, from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt, furnishing his opinion in re the claims of

2 of Miss Calethorpe for
travelling expenses for
her journey from Bangkok
to Mysore

3 Correspondence ending
with letter No $\frac{7624}{332}$ d 6th
March 1901, from the I Dr
of Education, in Mysore,
in re the claims of Miss.
Calethorpe for house rent
from 1st January 1900 to
23rd April 1901, the period
during which she occupied
Govt News Quarters

Order thereon
No $\frac{174 \text{ ST-}52}{\text{Ed F 51-96}}$ Dated 26/6/01

Miss Calethorpes several
claims as per margin
are allowed, and Govt
direct that they be met
from

Item	Amount Rs
Compensation for	
1) loss of pay & house rent at Rs 460 a month from 19th Nov 1900 to 31st Decr 1900 (both days inclusive)	644
2) Travelling allowances for her journey from Bangkok to Mysore	319
3) House rent at Rs 60 per mensem from the 1st January to 23rd April 1901	224
Total Rs	1187

28

from General Savings.

26/6

Secretary.

26/6/01

To The Dy Gl of Education,
in Mysore

Comptroller, Mysore Govt